Addendum #3 To
Request for Proposal
For University Tower Utility Relocation: Project 507-258173

As a result of the Mandatory Pre-bid Meeting that was held on December 17, 2015, questions were submitted. The questions and the University’s responses are as follows:

1. Is the existing conduit(s) to be intercepted in an existing reinforced concrete ductbank?
   Response: Existing conduit is not in a ductbank.

2. If it is an existing reinforced concrete ductbank, how is the ductbank to chipped away to not damage the cable so that Handhole #1 can be installed and the cable be spliced in it per the base bid?
   Response: If existing is a ductbank, chip away to expose conduit and intercept.

3. Are the new conduits to be installed per the Detail on Sheet E0.2, indicating reinforced concrete encasement around the conduits?
   Response: Yes

4. How is cold weather conditions to be addressed in the repair of the trench work in the base bid for the conduits between Handhole #1 and Handhole #2 on Sheet E1.1
   Response: WSU has set a fixed allowance for this.

5. There is only one (1) alternate indicated on the bid form, but two un-numbered alternates are indicated on Plan Sheet #E1.1 (one of directional bore of conduits and one of replacement of wire back to the source, in lieu of splicing in the new handhole #1)
   Response: This is clarified under addendum 3.

6. On the directional bore alternate, is this to be only between Handhole #1 & #2 and not be between Handhole #2 and the new generator location, due to the fact directional boring cannot complete small 90 degree bends with the rod to complete this short pathway section.
   Response: This is correct, open trench from hand hole 2 to generator.

7. Can the amount of fuel (in gallons) of the existing diesel tank be indicated for bidding purposes?
   Response: WSU will provide full tank for the existing generator at beginning of project.

8. Can a profile of the pavement section be provided for the loading dock pavement removal section for the install of the ductbank between Handhole #1 & #2?
   Response: This is clarified in reference drawings issued in addendum 3.

9. Is the existing primary ductbank size and elevation available, since it will have to be crossed by either ductbank or directional boring?
   Response: Refer to reference drawings issued in addendum 3.

NOTE: You must have attended a pre-bid conference in order to be eligible to bid on a particular project. Receipt of minutes or addenda without being at a pre-bid conference does not qualify your company to bid.
A copy of this Addendum will be posted to the Purchasing web site at http://www.forms.procurement.wayne.edu/Adv_bid/Adv_bid.html.

As a reminder, the bid due date has been extended. The new due date is January 12, 2016, at 2:00 pm. If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to email them to me at ac9934@wayne.edu and copy leiann.day@wayne.edu.

Thank you,

Kimberly Tomaszewski,
Senior Buyer
ADDENDUM

Project Name: Wayne State University
               University Tower
               Utility Generator Relocation

PBA Project Number: 2015.0132
Addendum Number: 3
Date: 1/6/2016

Each Bidder’s proposal shall include the work described herein.

Unless otherwise indicated, the work described herein shall comply with, and be equal in all respects to, the original Specifications and the Drawings accompanying same. Include incidental work required to properly complete the work, whether stated herein or not.

Drawings Issued: ED1.1, E1.1, E5.1
Existing Drawings Issued for Reference: A8.2.1, A8.2.2, A8.2.3, A8.2.4

**Electrical Drawing Changes:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ED-1</td>
<td>Refer to Sheet ED101 (Issued):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. Revised demolition notes as indicated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED-2</td>
<td>Refer to Sheet E101 (Issued):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. Revised construction key notes as indicated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED-3</td>
<td>Refer to Sheet E5.1 (Issued):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. Revised construction key notes as indicated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. Added conduit and wiring size for generator start signal to ATS as indicated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Questions:

1. Is the existing conduit(s) to be intercepted in an existing reinforced concrete ductbank?
   Existing conduit is not in a ductbank.

2. If it is an existing reinforced concrete ductbank, how is the ductbank to chipped away to
   not damage the cable so that Handhole #1 can be installed and the cable be spliced in it
   per the base bid?
   If existing is a ductbank, chip away to expose conduit and intercept.

3. Are the new conduits to be installed per the Detail on Sheet E0.2, indicating reinforced
   concrete encasement around the conduits?
   Yes

4. How is cold weather conditions to be addressed in the repair of the trench work in the
   base bid for the conduits between Handhole #1 and Handhole #2 on Sheet E1.1
   WSU has set a fixed allowance for this.

5. There is only one (1) alternate indicated on the bid form, but two un-numbered alternates
   are indicated on Plan Sheet #E1.1 (one of directional bore of conduits and one of
   replacement of wire back to the source, in lieu of splicing in the new handhole #1)
   This is clarified under addendum 3.

6. On the directional bore alternate, is this to be only between Handhole #1 & #2 and not be
   between Handhole #2 and the new generator location, due to the fact directional boring
   cannot complete small 90 degree bends with the rod to complete this short pathway
   section.
   This is correct, open trench from hand hole 2 to generator.

7. Can the amount of fuel (in gallons) of the existing diesel tank be indicated for bidding
   purposes?
   WSU will provide full tank for the existing generator at beginning of project.

8. Can a profile of the pavement section be provided for the loading dock pavement
   removal section for the install of the ductbank between Handhole #1 & #2?
   This is clarified in reference drawings issued in addendum 3.

9. Is the existing primary ductbank size and elevation available, since it will have to be
   crossed by either ductbank or directional boring?
   Refer to reference drawings issued in addendum 3.